# Densities and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide with Benzyl Alcohol and Acetophenone at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K

# Pandharinath S. Nikam\* and Sanjeevan J. Kharat<sup>†</sup>

P. G. Department of Physical Chemistry, M.S.G. College, Malegaon Camp-423105, India

Densities and viscosities of binary mixtures of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) with benzyl alcohol and acetophenone have been measured at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K. Using the experimental data, excess molar volumes ( $V^{\text{E}}$ ) and deviations in viscosity ( $\Delta \eta$ ) have been calculated. The excess molar volumes and deviations in viscosity have been fitted to the Redlich–Kister polynomial equation. McAllister's three-body interaction model has been used to correlate the kinematic viscosities of binary liquid mixtures with mole fractions.

## Introduction

The knowledge of densities and viscosities of pure liquids and liquid mixtures is needed for optimal design of many types of equipment in chemical technology.

DMF is a versatile solvent, used in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals, in agricultural chemistry, and as a solvent for polymers. A fundamental understanding of the mixture behavior of DMF with polar, nonpolar, associated, and nonassociated solvents is therefore important from the technical and engineering point of view. The density and viscosity data of binary mixtures of DMF with polar, nonpolar, associated, and nonassociated solvents have been reported previously.1-4 Benzyl alcohol exists in associated form whereas DMF and acetophenone have nonassociated structures in the liquid state. To the best of our knowledge, there is single report<sup>5</sup> on density and viscosity data of binary mixtures of DMF with benzyl alcohol (at 298.15 K only). However, there are no reports on density and viscosity data of binary mixtures of DMF with acetophenone. Therefore, in the present paper we report densities and viscosities of binary mixtures of DMF with benzyl alcohol and acetophenone over the entire range of composition and at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K.

#### **Experimental Section**

Acetophenone (E. Merck, Extra pure, purity > 99%) was further purified<sup>6</sup> by fractional distillation and fusion until constancy of the boiling point and density for successive fractions. DMF (S. D. Fine Chemicals, analytical reagent, purity > 99.5%) and benzyl alcohol (S. D. Fine Chemicals, analytical reagent, purity > 99.5%) were directly used. The purity of the solvents was ascertained by GLC and also by comparing experimental values of densities and viscosities with those reported in the literature (Table 1). Our experimental values of densities and viscosities match very well with those reported in the literature. Binary mixtures were prepared by mixing a known mass of each liquid in an airtight, stoppered glass bottle. The masses were

Table 1. Comparison of Experimental Densities, $\rho$ , and
Viscosities, $\eta$ , of Pure Liquids with Literature Values at
(298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K

		ho/g	·cm <sup>-3</sup>	$\eta/n$	ıPa∙s
liquid	<i>T</i> /K	expt	lit.	expt	lit.
DMF	298.15	0.9445	0.944513	0.803	0.80212
	303.15	0.9398	$0.9397^{14}$	0.756	$0.752^{16}$
	308.15	0.9351	$0.9356^{14}$	0.710	$0.707^{16}$
	313.15	0.9302	$0.9298^{15}$	0.673	$0.664^{16}$
benzyl alcohol	298.15	1.0413	$1.0415^{6}$	5.160	
0	303.15	1.0375	$1.0376^{6}$	4.670	$4.65^{6}$
	308.15	1.0337	$1.0339^{6}$	4.004	
	313.15	1.0294	$1.0306^{6}$	3.520	$3.530^{17}$
acetophenone	298.15	1.0241	$1.0238^{6}$	1.680	$1.617^{18}$
-	303.15	1.0199	$1.0194^{6}$	1.540	$1.511^{6}$
	308.15	1.0157	$1.0150^{6}$	1.410	
	313.15	1.0112	$1.0106^{6}$	1.310	

recorded on a Adairdutt balance to an accuracy of  $\pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$  g. The estimated error in mole fraction was  $^{<1}\times 10^{-4}$ . Care was taken to avoid contamination during mixing.

The densities of degassed pure liquids and binary mixtures were measured using a 15 cm<sup>3</sup> double-arm pycnometer, as reported earlier,<sup>7</sup> in a transparent glass-walled water bath having a thermal stability of  $\pm 0.01$  K. The pycnometer was calibrated using conductivity water<sup>8</sup> having a conductivity of  $< 1 \times 10^{-6} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Uncertainties in density measurement were within  $\pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$  g·cm<sup>-3</sup>.

Dynamic viscosities were measured using an Ubbelohde suspended-level viscometer,<sup>7</sup> calibrated with conductivity water.<sup>8</sup> An electronic digital stopwatch with accuracy to  $\pm 0.01$  s was used for flow time measurements. At least three repetitions of each data point obtained were reproducible to  $\pm 0.05$  s, and results were averaged. Since all flow times were >300 s, kinetic energy corrections were not applied. To evaluate the viscometer constant, the length of the capillary of the viscometer (*l*) term is to be corrected as I = I + 0.5r with *r* being the radius of the viscometer capillary. Since *l* is much larger (50 to 60 mm) as compared to *r* (r = 0.5 mm), I = I and, hence, end effects in viscometers are negligible. The dynamic viscosity ( $\eta$ ) of the liquids was calculated<sup>7</sup> using

$$\eta/\eta_0 = (\rho t)/(\rho_0 t_0)$$
(1)

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: sanjeevan@ sancharnet.in.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Present address: Chemistry Department, HPT/RYK College, Nasik-422005, India.

Table 2. Dens	sity, ρ, Viscosity, 1	, Excess Molar	Volume, <i>V</i> <sup>E</sup> , a	nd Deviations in	Viscosity, $\Delta \eta$ ,	for DMF (1)	+ Benzyl	Alcohol
(2) and DMF	(1) + Acetophenor	ne (2) at (298.15,	303.15, 308.1	5, and 313.15) K				

	$10^{3} ho$	η	$V^{\rm E}$	$\Delta \eta$		$10^{3} ho$	η	$V^{\rm E}$	$\Delta \eta$	
<i>X</i> <sub>1</sub>	kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	mPa·s	cm <sup>3</sup> ⋅mol <sup>-1</sup>	mPa·s	<i>X</i> 1	kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	mPa·s	cm <sup>3</sup> ⋅mol <sup>-1</sup>	mPa·s	
DMF (1) + Benzyl Alcohol (2)										
				298.	15 K					
0.0000	1.0413	5.160	0.000	0.000	0.5865	0.9961	1.850	-0.403	-0.755	
0.1088	1.0361	4.473	-0.281	-0.213	0.7170	0.9807	1.440	-0.232	-0.596	
0.1712	1.0325	4.011	-0.396	-0.403	0.7962	0.9703	1.230	-0.090	-0.461	
0.3055	1.0227	3.140	-0.496	-0.689	0.8972	0.9576	1.001	-0.017	-0.250	
0.4003	1.0148	2.590	-0.520	-0.826	1.0000	0.9445	0.803	0.000	0.000	
0.5393	1.0013	2.020	-0.456	-0.790						
				303.	15 K					
0.0000	1.0375	4.670	0.000	0.000	0.5865	0.9920	1.710	-0.423	-0.664	
0.1088	1.0323	4.060	-0.290	-0.184	0.7170	0.9764	1.335	-0.244	-0.529	
0.1712	1.0287	3.648	-0.412	-0.352	0.7962	0.9659	1.146	-0.099	-0.408	
0.3055	1.0188	2.870	-0.514	-0.604	0.8972	0.9531	0.938	-0.025	-0.220	
0.4003	1.0108	2.378	-0.536	-0.725	1.0000	0.9398	0.756	0.000	0.000	
0.5393	0.9972	1.860	-0.474	-0.699						
				308.	15 K					
0.0000	1.0337	4.004	0.000	0.000	0.5865	0.9879	1.541	-0.445	-0.531	
0.1088	1.0285	3.510	-0.310	-0.136	0.7170	0.9721	1.218	-0.257	-0.424	
0.1712	1.0249	3.170	-0.428	-0.270	0.7962	0.9615	1.054	-0.108	-0.327	
0.3055	1.0149	2.517	-0.532	-0.481	0.8972	0.9487	0.872	-0.042	-0.177	
0.4003	1.0068	2.100	-0.552	-0.585	1.0000	0.9351	0.710	0.000	0.000	
0.5393	0.9931	1.670	-0.492	-0.558						
				313.	15 K					
0.0000	1.0294	3.520	0.000	0.000	0.5865	0.9834	1.411	-0.461	-0.429	
0.1088	1.0243	3.106	-0.311	-0.104	0.7170	0.9676	1.127	-0.279	-0.352	
0.1712	1.0206	2.817	-0.441	-0.216	0.7962	0.9569	0.982	-0.124	-0.271	
0.3055	1.0106	2.260	-0.555	-0.390	0.8972	0.9440	0.819	-0.054	-0.147	
0.4003	1.0024	1.900	-0.569	-0.480	1.0000	0.9302	0.673	0.000	0.000	
0.5393	0.9887	1.520	-0.515	-0.465						
			Т	OMF(1) + Ace	etophenone (	2)				
			-	298.	15 K	-)				
0.0000	1.0241	1.680	0.000	0.000	0.6256	0.9858	1.156	-0.322	0.025	
0.1123	1.0180	1.584	-0.004	0.002	0.7165	0.9772	1.072	-0.259	0.020	
0.1641	1.0156	1.544	-0.068	0.008	0.7943	0.9690	0.999	-0.182	0.016	
0.3080	1.0088	1.430	-0.288	0.020	0.9378	0.9525	0.863	-0.061	0.005	
0.4328	1.0010	1.328	-0.355	0.028	1.0000	0.9445	0.803	0.000	0.000	
0.5286	0.9942	1.246	-0.382	0.030						
				303.	15 K					
0.0000	1.0199	1.540	0.000	0.000	0.6256	0.9814	1.069	-0.332	0.019	
0.1123	1.0139	1.453	-0.019	0.001	0.7165	0.9728	0.995	-0.273	0.017	
0.1641	1.0114	1.418	-0.076	0.007	0.7943	0.9645	0.930	-0.190	0.013	
0.3080	1.0046	1.314	-0.304	0.015	0.9378	0.9479	0.809	-0.067	0.004	
0.4328	0.9968	1.221	-0.376	0.020	1.0000	0.9398	0.756	0.000	0.000	
0.5286	0.9899	1.148	-0.398	0.022						
				308.	15 K					
0.0000	1.0157	1.410	0.000	0.000	0.6256	0.9771	0.984	-0.352	0.012	
0.1123	1.0097	1.330	-0.025	0.001	0.7165	0.9684	0.918	-0.288	0.010	
0.1641	1.0072	1.299	-0.084	0.004	0.7943	0.9600	0.861	-0.199	0.007	
0.3080	1.0004	1.205	-0.319	0.011	0.9378	0.9433	0.755	-0.072	0.001	
0.4328	0.9925	1.122	-0.388	0.015	1.0000	0.9351	0.710	0.000	0.000	
0.5286	0.9856	1.056	-0.414	0.016						
				313.	15 K					
0.0000	1.0112	1.310	0.000	0.000	0.6256	0.9725	0.922	-0.368	0.011	
0.1123	1.0052	1.238	-0.029	0.000	0.7165	0.9638	0.862	-0.306	0.008	
0.1641	1.0027	1.206	-0.090	0.001	0.7943	0.9553	0.810	-0.210	0.006	
0.3080	0.9959	1.123	-0.333	0.009	0.9378	0.9385	0.714	-0.079	0.001	
0.4328	0.9880	1.047	-0.407	0.013	1.0000	0.9302	0.673	0.000	0.000	
0.5286	0.9811	0.987	-0.436	0.014						

where  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_0$ , *t*, *t*<sub>0</sub>, and  $\eta$ ,  $\eta_0$  refer to the density, flow time, and viscosity of liquids and water, respectively. The reproducibility in the measurement of viscosity was  $\pm 0.003$  mPa·s.

# **Results and Discussion**

Table 2 lists experimental values of densities,  $\rho$ , and viscosities,  $\eta$ , of binary mixtures of DMF with benzyl alcohol and acetophenone at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K. The  $\rho$  values have been used to calculate the

excess molar volumes ( $V^{E}$ ) using the following equation:

$$V^{E}/\mathrm{cm}^{3}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1} = \frac{x_{1}M_{1} + x_{2}M_{2}}{\rho_{\mathrm{mix}}} - \frac{x_{1}M_{1}}{\rho_{1}} - \frac{x_{2}M_{2}}{\rho_{2}}$$
 (2)

where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $\rho_1$ , and  $\rho_2$  are the mole fractions, molecular weights, and densities of pure components 1 and 2, respectively, and  $\rho_{\text{mix}}$  is the density of the binary mixture.

The viscosity deviations  $(\Delta \eta)$  were calculated by using the equation

$$\Delta \eta / \mathbf{mPa} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \eta_{\mathrm{mix}} - x_1 \eta_1 - x_2 \eta_2 \tag{3}$$

where  $\eta_{\text{mix}}$  is the viscosity of the binary mixture and  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ are the viscosities of components 1 and 2, respectively. The variations of  $V^{E}$  and  $\Delta \eta$  with mole fractions of DMF (*x*<sub>1</sub>) for DMF + benzyl alcohol systems at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K are represented in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The variation of  $V^{E}$  with mole fractions of DMF  $(x_1)$  for DMF + acetophenone systems at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K is represented in Figure 3. Since  $\Delta \eta$  values for DMF + acetophenone systems are very small, they are not represented graphically. The  $V^{E}$  and  $\Delta \eta$  values over the entire range of composition and at all temperatures are negative for binary mixtures of DMF with benzyl alcohol. For binary mixtures of DMF with acetophenone, the  $V^{\rm E}$  and  $\Delta \eta$  values are negative and positive, respectively, over the entire range of composition and at all temperatures.

Treszczanowicz et al.<sup>9</sup> suggested that  $V^{E}$  is the resultant contribution from several opposing effects. These may be divided arbitrarily into three types, namely chemical, physical, and structural. Physical contributions, that is, nonspecific interactions between the real species present in the mixture, contribute a positive term to  $V^{E}$ . The chemical or specific intermolecular interactions result in a volume decrease, and these include charge-transfer type forces and other complex-forming interactions. This effect contributes negative values to VE. The structural contributions are mostly negative and arise from several effects, especially from interstitial accommodation and changes of free volume. In other words, structural contributions arising from geometrical fitting (interstitially accommodated) of one component into the other due to the differences in the free volume and molar volume between components lead to a negative contribution to  $V^{E}$ .

The molar volumes of benzyl alcohol at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K are (118.266, 118.699, 119.135, and 119.633 cm<sup>3</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, and those of DMF are (77.385, 77.772, 78.163, and 78.575 cm<sup>3</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>) at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K, respectively. These molar volume values of DMF and benzyl alcohol differ considerably: hence, nonassociated DMF molecules are interstitially accommodated into aggregates of benzyl alcohol, yielding a negative contribution to observed  $V^{E}$  values. This implies that the complex forming interactions are almost absent in DMF + benzyl alcohol systems, and therefore observed  $\Delta \eta$  values are negative. Alternatively, it can be said that the two components, DMF + benzy alcohol, strongly interact to form heteroaggregated adducts and complex species, with the mixing process accompanied by prevailing "breaking structure effects" in bulking pure benzyl alcohol, while the complexes formed are quite unable to give an effective network sustaining the liquid structure. The  $V^{E}$ values for DMF + acetophenone systems are negative, over the entire range of composition and at all temperatures. The negative  $V^{E}$  values for DMF + acetophenone systems indicate strong specific interactions through dipolar association between DMF and acetophenone molecules. The deviations in viscosity ( $\Delta \eta$ ) values for DMF + acetophenone systems are too small to make any conclusions about the nature of interactions between these two components; hence, no definite comments on  $\Delta \eta$  could be made.

The results of  $V^{\rm E}$  and  $\Delta \eta$  are fitted in the Redlich–Kister equation:<sup>10</sup>

$$Y = x_1 x_2 \sum a_i (x_1 - x_2)^i$$
 (4)

where *Y* refers to  $V^{\mathbb{E}}/\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  or  $\Delta \eta/\text{mPa} \cdot \text{s}$  and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ 



**Figure 1.** Excess molar volumes (eq 4),  $V^{\text{E}}$ , for  $(x_1)\text{DMF} + (1 - x_1)\text{benzyl alcohol at various temperatures: <math>\blacklozenge$ , 298.15;  $\blacktriangle$ , 303.15;  $\blacksquare$ , 308.15;  $\blacklozenge$ , 313.15 K.



**Figure 2.** Deviations in viscosity (eq 4),  $\Delta \eta$ , for (*x*<sub>1</sub>)DMF + (1 - *x*<sub>1</sub>)benzyl alcohol at various temperatures:  $\blacklozenge$ , 298.15;  $\blacktriangle$ , 303.15;  $\blacksquare$ , 308.15;  $\blacklozenge$ , 313.15 K.

are the mole fractions of components 1 and 2, respectively. The coefficients  $a_i$  were obtained by fitting eq 4 to experimental results using a least-squares regression method. In each case, the optimum number of coefficients is ascertained from the examination of the variation in standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ).

The  $\sigma$  was calculated using

$$\sigma(Y) = (\sum (Y_{\text{expt}} - Y_{\text{cal}})^2 / (n - m))^{1/2}$$
(5)

where *n* is the number of data points and *m* is the number of coefficients. The calculated values of  $a_i$  along with the standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) are given in Table 3.

The kinematic viscosities,  $\nu$ , of the binary liquid mixtures are calculated from their dynamic viscosities and densities. McAllister's three-body interaction model<sup>11</sup> has been used to correlate the kinematic viscosities of binary liquid mixtures.

$$\ln \nu = x_1^{3} \ln \nu_1 + x_2^{3} \ln \nu_2 + 3x_1^{2} x_2 \ln \nu_{12} + 3x_2^{2} x_1 \ln \nu_{21} - \ln(x_1 + x_2 M_2 / M_1) + 3x_1^{2} x_2 \ln(^2 /_3 + M_2 / 3M_1) + 3x_2^{2} x_1 \ln(^1 /_3 + 2M_2 / 3M_1) + x_2^{3} \ln(M_2 / M_1)$$
(6)

Table 3.	Parameters and	Standard D	<b>)eviations</b> , <i>a</i>	r, of Eqs 4	and 5 for	• DMF (1	) + Benzy	l Alcohol (	(2) and	DMF	(1) +
Acetophe	enone (2)										

property	T/K	3.	2,	30	20	2,	2-	σ
property	1/1	20	al	az	az	24	<i>a</i> <sub>5</sub>	0
			DMF (1) +	Benzyl Alcoho	l (2)			
V <sup>E</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> ⋅mol <sup>-1</sup>	298.15	-1.934	1.071	1.095	3.190	-0.741	-3.441	0.007
	303.15	-2.006	1.032	1.067	3.675	-0.735	-4.110	0.006
	308.15	-2.092	1.017	1.310	3.894	-1.402	-4.375	0.006
	313.15	-2.164	0.987	1.069	4.230	-0.993	-5.044	0.007
∆η/mPa•s	298.15	-3.257	0.737	0.538	-1.729	1.224		0.009
	303.15	-2.867	0.611	0.443	-1.946	1.174		0.008
	308.15	-2.301	0.501	0.433	-1.356	0.977		0.008
	313.15	-1.889	0.392	0.349	-1.182	0.887		0.008
			DMF(1) +	Acetophenone	(2)			
V <sup>E</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> ⋅mol <sup>-1</sup>	298.15	-1.526	0.224	1.631	-1.444			0.009
	303.15	-1.585	0.254	1.562	-1.459			0.009
	308.15	-1.649	0.247	1.556	-1.468			0.010
	313.15	-1.726	0.255	1.571	-1.552			0.010
			DMF (1) +	Acetophenone	(2)			
$\Delta \eta$ /mPa·s	298.15	0.116	-0.004	-0.099	0.075			0.001
	303.15	0.087	0.006	-0.069	0.046			0.001
	308.15	0.062	-0.005	-0.072	0.023			0.001
	313.15	0.054	-0.001	-0.073	0.023			0.000



**Figure 3.** Excess molar volumes (eq 4),  $V^{\mathbb{E}}$ , for  $(x_1)$ DMF +  $(1 - x_1)$ acetophenone at various temperatures:  $\blacklozenge$ , 298.15;  $\blacktriangle$ , 303.15;  $\blacksquare$ , 308.15;  $\blacklozenge$ , 313.15 K.

Table 4. Parameters of McAllister's Model and StandardPercentage Deviation for Kinematic Viscosities atVarious Temperatures

system	<i>T</i> /K	$\nu_{12}$	$\nu_{21}$	$\sigma\%$
DMF (1) + benzyl alcohol (2)	298.15	3.372	1.508	2.27
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	303.15	3.088	1.403	2.20
	308.15	2.724	1.275	2.31
	313.15	2.452	1.181	2.24
DMF $(1)$ + acetophenone $(2)$	298.15	1.416	1.238	0.20
	303.15	1.306	1.143	0.14
	308.15	1.201	1.050	0.24
	313.15	1.125	0.983	0.17

where  $v_{12}$  and  $v_{21}$  are model parameters.

The percentage standard deviation was calculated by using the following equation.

$$\sigma(\%) = \left(\sum (100(\nu_{\text{expt}} - \nu_{\text{cal}})/\nu_{\text{expt}})^2/(n-m)\right)^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

where *n* represents the number of experimental points and *m* the number of coefficients. Table 4 includes the different parameters and the percentage standard deviation. From Table 4 it is clear that McAllister's three-body interaction

model is suitable to correlate the kinematic viscosities of the binary mixtures studied.

In conclusion, it is observed that DMF molecules are interstitially accommodated into aggregates of benzyl alcohol or form heteroaggregated adducts and complex species, resulting in negative excess molar volumes and negative deviations in viscosity for DMF + benzyl alcohol systems. However, negative excess molar volumes for DMF + acetophenone systems are attributed to strong specific interactions through dipolar association between DMF and acetophenone molecules.

## **Literature Cited**

- Nikam, P. S.; Kharat, S. J. Excess Molar Volumes and Deviations in Viscosity for Binary Mixtures of *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide with Aniline and Benzonitrile at (298.15, 303.15, 308.15 and 313.15) K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2003**, *48*, 972–976.
- (2) Ramadevi, R. S.; Rao, M. V. P.; Venkatesu, P. Viscosities of Binary Liquid Mixtures of *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide with Substituted Benzenes at 303.15 and 313.15 K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1996**, *41*, 479–481.
- (3) Gill, D. S.; Kaur, T.; Joshi, I. M.; Singh, J. The Densities and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Benzonitrile with N,N-DMF, Methanol, Acetone at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1993, 89, 1737–1740.
- (4) Marcheti, A.; Preti, C.; Tagliazucchi, M.; Tassi, L.; Tosi, G. The N,N-Dimethylformamide/Ethane-1,2-diol Solvent System. Density, Viscosity, and Excess Molar Volume at Various Temperatures. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1991, 36, 360-365.
- (5) Kinart, C. M.; Kinart, W. J. Study on Internal Structures of liquid N,N-Dimethylformamide- Benzyl Alcohol Mixtures. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* **1996**, *31*, 1–8.
- (6) Timmermans, J. Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Compounds; Elsevier Publications: Amsterdam, 1950; Vol. I.
- (7) Nikam, P. S.; Jagdale, B. S.; Sawant, A. B.; Hassan, M. Densities and Viscosities for Binary Mixtures of Toluene with Methanol, Ethanol, Propan-1-ol, Butan-1-ol, Pentan-1-ol, and 2-Methylpropan-2-ol at (303.15, 308.15, and 313.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2000, 45, 559–563.
- (8) Marsh, K. N. Recommended Reference Materials for the Realization of Physicochemical Properties; Blackwell Scientific Publications: Oxford, U.K., 1987.
- (9) Treszczanowicz, A. J.; Kiyohara, O.; Benson, G. C. Excess Molar Volumes For n- Alkanes Binary Mixtures of Decan-1-ol + n-Pentane, + n-Hexane, + n-Octane, + n-Decane, and + n-Hexadecane. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1981, 13, 253–260.
- (10) Redlich, O.; Kister, A. T. Algebric Representation of Thermodynamic Properties and the Classification of Solutions. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1948**, 40, 345–348.
- (11) McAllister, R. A. The Viscosity of Liquid Mixtures. AIChE J. 1960, 6, 427–431.
- (12) Riddick, J. A.; Bunger, W. B. Techniques Of Chemistry, 4th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986.
- (13) Bagga, O. P.; Ratan, V. K.; Singh, S.; Sethi, B. P. S.; Raju, K. S. N. Isobaric Vapour- Liquid Equilibria for Binary Mixtures of

- Etylbenzene and p-Xylene with Dimethylformamide. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1987, 32, 198–201.
  (14) Pal, A.; Singh, Y. P. Excess Molar Volumes and Apparent Molar Volumes of Some Amide + Water Systems at 303.15 and 308.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 818–822.
  (15) Chan, G.; Knapp, H. Densities and Excess Molar Volumes for Sulfolane + Ethylbenzene, Sulfolane + 1-Methylnaphthalene, Water + N,N-Dimethylformamide, Water + Methanol, Water + N-Formylmopholine, and Water + N-Methylpyrrolidone. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 1001–1004.
  (16) Joshi, S. S.; Aminabhavi, T. M.; Balundgi, R. H.; Shukla, S. S. Densities and Viscosities of Binary Liquid Mixtures of Nitroben-zene with Cyclohexane and N,N-Dimethylformamide. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1990, 35, 185–187.
- (17) Singh, R. P.; Sinha, C. P. Viscosities and Activation Energies of Viscous Flow of the Ternary Mixtures of Tolune, Chlorobenzene, 1-Hexanol and Benzyl Alcohol. *J. Chem. Eng Data.* **1985**, *30*, 470– 474.
- (18) Weast, R. C. *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1982–1983.

Received for review March 31, 2003. Accepted July 4, 2003. S.J.K. is thankful to the University Grants Commission (UGC), India, for the award of a Teacher Fellowship (F.No. 34-7/98 (WRO)) and financial assistance.

JE030163D